



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/706,814	11/07/2000	Kang-Wook Chun	Q61285	5957	
7590 05/03/2006			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC			VENT, JAMIE J		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2621			

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/706,814	CHUN, KANG-WOOK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jamie Vent	2621	

	Jamie Vent	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follows:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff	Appeal. To avoid aba	nce, which		
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	FR 41.31; or (3) of the following		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut hafara ar an tha data of filing a N	ation of Annual will no	at he entered		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attached. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			

Art Unit: 2621

Response to Arguments

Page 2

Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 2-6 applicant argues that Hamada fails to disclose the following limitations "an audio/video producer for inserting the additional information supplied from the packet parser into a particular region in the audio and or video packet supplied from the audio/video parser" as recited in Claim 1. In Column 8 Lines 2+ Figure 6 is described wherein the TS packet containing information and the extraction method involved. Additionally, in Column 10 Lines 50+ through Column 11 Lines 1-20 the packet sequence is described as "..a match packet sequence received from the parser has blank portions because the TS packets other than the match packets have been removed. Thus the match packet sequence should be arranged so that the other units can properly process it. To arrange the match packet sequence, for example, PAT, PMT, SIT, and DIT are added." Therefore, the adding of the PAT, PMT, SIT, and DIT meets the limitation as this information is additional information from the TS packet. Although, all of applicants points are understood the examiner can not agree therefore the rejection is maintained.

THE RESTRICTION OF THE PARTY OF